

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,278	08/21/2003	Fritz Scholz	5789 7260		
44341	7590 04/19/2006		EXAMINER		
JACOBSON & JOHNSON ONE WEST WATER STREET, SUITE 285			FIORITO, JAMES		
ST. PAUL, N		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1754	<del>-</del> -	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>				
Office Action Summary		10/645,27	78	SCHOLZ ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		James A.	Fiorito	1754					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
2a)☐ This ac 3)☐ Since the	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
Disposition of Claims									
4a) Of the special state of t	cification is objected to by the E	rithdrawn from con and/or election red xaminer.	quirement.	to by the Examine	er.				
<ul> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).     </li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>									
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
<ul><li>2) Notice of Draft</li><li>3) Information Dis</li></ul>	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO ail Date <u>4/28/05</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a method, classified in class 423, subclass 34.
- II. Claims 10-16, drawn to a combination, classified in class 156, subclass1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the combination can be used for a different process such as catalyst recovery.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Carl Johnson on 4/11/06 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-9. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 10-16 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2,5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitsuyama Fumio (JP 62192588).

Japan '588 discloses a method of recovery of a metal from an etching solution comprising the steps of: forming an etching solution containing ferric chloride; using the etching solution containing ferric chloride to etch a metal containing nickel to form a spent etching solution containing of nickel; collecting the spent etching solution; and placing iron particles in the spent etching solution to precipitate a metal powder of nickel at a temperature of 50-90 degrees Celsius. The precipitate is separated from the spent solution by filtration (Abstract).

Claims 1, and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki Osamu (JP 63033584).

Japan '584 discloses a method of recovery of a metal from an etching solution comprising the steps of: forming an etching solution containing ferric chloride; using the etching solution containing ferric chloride to etch a metal containing copper to form a spent etching solution containing copper; collecting the spent etching solution; and placing iron particles in the spent etching solution to precipitate a metal powder of copper at a temperature of 50-100 degrees Celsius. The precipitate is separated from the spent solution by centrifuge and chlorine gas is used to convert FeCl2 into FeCl3 (Abstract).

Claims 1, 3, 5, and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inoshita (US 5954854).

US '854 discloses a method of recovery of a metal from an etching solution comprising the steps of: forming an etching solution containing ferric chloride; using the etching solution containing ferric chloride to etch a metal containing copper and nickel to form a spent etching solution containing copper and nickel; collecting the spent etching solution; and placing iron particles in the spent etching solution to precipitate a metal powder of copper and nickel. The precipitate is separated from the spent solution by sedimentation and chlorine gas is used to convert FeCl2 into FeCl3 (Column 1-6).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Fiorito whose telephone number is (571)272-7426. The examiner can normally be reached on Standard.

Art Unit: 1754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Fiorito
Patent Examiner
AU 1754

JF

Steven Bos Primary Patent Examiner AU 1754

WAYNE A. LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER